

Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice*)  
Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice*)  
Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice*)  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
2001 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-1047  
Telephone: (202) 223-7356  
Facsimile: (202) 204-7356  
Email: [kgallo@paulweiss.com](mailto:kgallo@paulweiss.com)  
Email: [jsimons@paulweiss.com](mailto:jsimons@paulweiss.com)  
Email: [cbenson@paulweiss.com](mailto:cbenson@paulweiss.com)

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)  
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)  
TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP  
One Ferry Building, Suite 355  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 788-8200  
Facsimile: (415) 788-8208  
Email: staylor@tcolaw.com  
Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation,  
Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION

Case No. 07-cv-05944 (SC)  
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.,*  
No. 13-cv-1173-SC;

*Sharp Elecs. Corp. et al. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V. et al.*, No. 13-cv-2776-SC.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
SHARP'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
RELATED TO SHARP'S REPLY  
BRIEF RE: MOTION IN LIMINE  
TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE  
RELATED TO THE ROLE OF  
SHARP COMPANIES IN THE TFT-  
LCD ANTITRUST LITIGATIONS  
UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO  
CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-  
5(b)

Upon consideration of Sharp's Administrative Motion to File Documents Related to Sharp's Reply Brief Re: Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Related to the Role of Sharp Companies in the TFT-LCD Antitrust Litigations Under Seal Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(b), submitted in connection with Sharp's Reply Brief Re: Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Related to the Role of Sharp Companies in the TFT-LCD Antitrust Litigations, it is hereby:

IT IS ORDERED that the Administrative Motion is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall file and maintain under seal Sharp's Reply Brief Re: Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Related to the Role of Sharp Companies in the TFT-LCD Antitrust Litigations and Exhibits D, E and F attached to the Declaration of Craig A. Benson in Support of Sharp's Reply Brief Re: Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Related to the Role of Sharp Companies in the TFT-LCD Antitrust Litigations.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE